(घ) भारतीय खाद्य निगम के निदेशक मंडल ने बजट को मंजूरी देते समय, खर्च में कमी करने के लिए 1992-93 के लिए लागत में कमी करने संबंधी एक कार्यक्रम तैयार किया है जिसमें प्रशासनिक खर्चों में कमी करना, भंडारण स्थान का अधिकतम इष्टतम उपयोग करना, रेल सड़क भाड़े के खर्च में कमी करना और अनाज को हानि पर रोक लगाना शामिल है । लागत कार्य-निष्पादन पर निगरानी रखना एक सतत प्रक्रिया है ।

(ङ) वर्ष 1991-92 के लेखों को अभी अन्तिम रूप नहीं दिया गया है ।

Stock Holding limits of Wheat and Rice

@@@4126. SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have recently notified reduction of stock holding limit of wheat and rice;

(b) if so, the limit item-wise and State and Union-territory-wise;

(c) the circumstances responsible for such notification;

(d) whether Government propose to undertake surprise checks to ensure observance of these instructions; and

(e) if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD (SHRI TARUN GOGOI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The limits fixed are uniform throughout the oountry.

Item	Cateory	Maximum stock-holding limits
Rice . . .	Wholesalers	250 qtls.
	Retailers	50 qtls.
	Rice Millers	500 qtls.
Wheat	Wholesalers	250 qtls.
	Retailers	50 qtls.
	Roller Flour Mills	15 days' grinding capacity of a mill.

(c) Reduction in the maximum stock-limits because necessary to augment availability and to contain price rise of wheat and rice in open market,

(b) and (c) The States/Union Territories have been requested to enforce the redneed-stock-limits of wheat and rice vigorously.

Supply of jute|plastic bags to FCI

4127. SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state:

@@ @Previously Unstarred Question 2292, transferred from the 12th March, 1992.

(a) the amount paid *to* the contrac tors for the supply of jute bags and plas tic bags, during the last three years by *the* Food Corporation of India including the accounts of all bids and LI alongwith the parties entrusted with the job to supply the bags;

(b) whether it is a fact that LI parties have been ignored and Lll and LIII have been given contract works; if so, what are the reasons therefor;

(c) the details of the transporters, regionwise for the last three years;

(d) whether it is a fact that only a particular set of contractors is entrusted with the transporting jobs, if so, the reasons therefor; and